App F. IX-4

Previous references

1931.

File No. 234 31

Serial Nos. 1 - 64

Later references

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 1

Par B for August 32

SUBJECT

Appeal petition Conduct — Pallavoorayan
Nagayya Sivarami Sample out
market —

1. The Navy Canteen
No 2384 28 32

1196 - Mis appl no 194-31-32 re: the Council of Pakavotsava of Sri Naraya[illegible]swami temple - melkote

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mis File No. 234 of 1931-2 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1

Petition dt. 4-32 filed by Mr N. Rama Iyengar Advocate Bangalore on behalf of his client H.K. Ananda Iyengar Secretary Pakavotsava Sabha Melkote appealing against the order of the Mysore Commissioner about the Conduct of Pakavotsava of Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote.

(1) The appeal petition is sufficiently Stamped & is in time.

(2) Petn calling for records is submitted for orders.

GS 18/4 Recd

(3) If approved the records may be called for.

for Secy)

19/4/32

(4) 1st member

(5)

21/4

6) Petn dated in para 2 is for orders - draft already put up may be approved

GS 21/4

Seen

25/4

26 APR [illegible] GENL SECT

NOTE—Contin. Sheet

Mug Appl no 1947 31-32 —
in Malkote

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

No 1575 of 29.4.32 from the Mugrai Commissioner Calling for records & Connected with the case.

Letter no 1354/31-32 of 21.6.32 from the Mugrai Commissioner submitting records relating to the Pallavobava Sabha Malkote. in connection with the appeal preferred by the Avanmundan Ezhuppan Secretary of the Sabha —

& The facts in the case are set forth in the M-C's decision in Mugabhe No 8/30-31 on the file of this Court. The records received now contain only Copy of the W. C's order sheet. This Case & the original records in 1051/29 & 1575/30/- Records received also contain papers pertaining to the Sabha of 1901. Perhaps it will be useful to know under what rules the present Sabha was formed & what privileges were conceded to the same. in about 1901

For orders of the above papers may be called for

Not necessary 25/6

Ls 24/6

It is clear from the preamble to the Mugrai Commrs order which gives the full facts of the case that the present Sabha is a new body having nothing to do with the old one which ceased to function so far back as 1924. As such the new Sabha can have no case. Hence are solicited re the date of hearing.

FI 30 JUNE 1932 No 8632

maybe posted to hearing

Answer as to how many cases are there to be heard?

28/6/32

1st Monday 4/7/32

Fri Seen

1 7/32

Appeal No 19 of 31-32

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz | File No. 234 of 193 .

[App. F. III—2

Serial No.

This case was heard on 25th July 1932.

The appellant was represented by his lawyer Mr. Ramiengar: the respondents were not present as notices could not be served upon them owing to their absence from Melkote.

The case was taken up as the presence of the respondents was unnecessary.

There are no reasons to interfere with the orders of the Deputy and Muzrai Commissioners. The appeal is dismissed.

SECT
28 JUL 193
REV SEC

Dmc
26/7

Dmc
26/7

Dmc
26/7

Slips 4-6 No. 238-40 of 2-8-32 to the Muzrai Commissioner Mysore N. Rama Iyengar Advocate Bangalore city L Karadi Venkatanarana Setty & Chatti Narasimhachar forwarding copy of decision in the case—

B 18/8

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-3.





Mzg 234-31-1

Before the Government of
His Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore.



Muzrai appeal 19 of 31.32

Appellant: M. K. Aramudu
Iyengar
Secretary Pallavotsava Sabha
Melkote.

vs.

Respondents: 1 Kanadi Venkata
Ramana Setty
2. Ghatri Narasimhachar
Melkote

Under Sec. 21 of the Mysore
Muzrai Regulation the
appellant abovenamed
most respectfully begs to
appeal against the order
of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore in Muzrai
appeal no 8 of 30.31 for
the following reasons
among others:-

Since 1901 a Sabha by name Pallavotsava Sabha was celebrating the Pallavotsavam of Sri Narayanaswami at Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote The Sabha was working properly till the year 1924. The then Secretary of the said Sabha one Paricharaka Sampat Iyengar having died the respondents who were the members of the said Sabha along with others did not properly conduct the affairs of the Sabha. The moneys that were collected and in deposit for the Pallavotsavam were unauthorisedly diverted for other purposes unconnected with the utsavam. In 1928 the Sabha was revived and it was duly registered under the Mysore Companies Regulation The respondents having diverted the funds that were in deposit in the name of the Secretary were not inclined to agree to a better

management of the affairs of the Sabha, and they are putting forward frivolous and untenable objections against the Continuance of the Pallavotsavam by the Pallavotsava Sabha. The appellants Sabha applied to the Muzrai Officers of the Taluk and District in mysore and the said officers have rejected the right of the appellant to celebrate the utsavam, and they have also not taken any steps to recover the moneys that were intended for the due performance of the utsavam. The said orders were all ex parte.

Grounds.

The learned Muzrai Commissioner erred in holding that the appellants Sabha was not entitled to celebrate the utsavam

2. The learned Muzrai Commissioner erred in not considering the arbitrary nature of the learned Deputy Commissioner's orders in withholding permission to the appellant Sabha to celebrate

5 The learned Deputy Com.
and the learned Muzrai Com.
unduly weighed the interested
objections of the Respondents who
are the sole objectors for the due
performance of the utsavam by
the appellants' Sabha

4 The learned Muzrai Commissioner
failed to consider even the steps
to be taken in respect of the
recovery of the amounts held
under deposit in the name of
the late Secretary and to be
utilized solely for the Pallavotsavam

5 The learned Muzrai Commissioner
failed to consider that the
consensus of opinion was in
favour of the utsavam being
conducted by the Pallavotsava Sabha.

6 The appellant submits that
the order under appeal is
not equitable and fair

7 The learned Muzrai Commissioner
erred in not remanding
the case for a proper and
detailed enquiry and report
by the Muzrai officers even
though the orders of the said
officers were ex parte

The learned Muzrai
Commissioner erred in

not finding out as to who were the contending parties that were prepared to celebrate the utsavam as the respondents have not evinced any interest to celebrate it themselves.

Wherefore the appellant most respectfully prays that the learned munsif Commissioner's order be kindly reversed and the appellant be kindly permitted to celebrate the utsavam as per mamool. The appellant further prays that the matter be kindly remitted to the munsif of the Mysore District for a full enquiry and report.

Bangalore
14.4.32

N. Rama Iyengar.
Advocate for appellant

Before the Government of ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ
His Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

1932—1932 ನೇ Mysore Appeal ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯಾ ಅಪ್ಪೋನೆಂಟ್,

M. K. Aramuda Iyengar } V. S. { 1 Karan Venkataramanaiah
2 Thatti Narasimhach...

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| N Rama Iyengar. B.A.B.L ಗೆ.
Advocate

ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡವರು ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರುಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ವರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ, ಜಾರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಸಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನೇ ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಈಧೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 13/14.4.32 } ರುಜು [signature]

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. } ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರು ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

MR

N Rama Iyengar

ಸಿಕ್ಕುವ ಸ್ಥಳ :—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕಜೇಟಿ, ವಿದ್ಯಾಸಾಗರ ಬುಕ್ಕು ಡಿಪೋ, ಬಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ರವರಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತೆ.

EIGHT ANNAS



In the Court of the Mussai Commissioner in Mysore.

Mussai Appeal No. 8/30-31.

Appellant:- M. K. Anandu Iyengar Secretary, Pallavotsava Sabha Melkote

Respondents - Kavadi Venkatarama Setty, Chatti Narasimhachar

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District refusing to allow the appellant to conduct Pallavotsava of Sri Narayana swami temple at Melkote.

Facts of the Case

About the year 1901 a Sabha was organised locally with the object of collecting funds and performing Pallavotsava for 7 days in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote as the Jadik grant of the institution was inadequate for the purpose. After the death of its Secretary, the members scattered in all directions and the Sabha ceased to function after the year 1924. Some of the members transferred the available funds along with all the rights of the Sabha to the Sri Ivan Jubilee Charities at the place. This act was recognised by the Deputy Commissioner. During the years

1925, 192 and 1927 the P
seva was performed by the temple
servants. On 6th June 1928 a new
Sabha was organised and its Secretary
Mr. R. K. Ramanadayengar applied to
the Taluk Amildar to permit him to
conduct the said seva. The Amildar
ordered on 9-6-28 that if Ramanuja
Iyengar and Bhakta Mandali (Jubilee
Charities) joined hands, they might
be allowed to perform utsava that
year and the question of its right
decided subsequently. The representatives
of both the old and the new Sabhas
were dissatisfied with this order and
appealed to the Sub Division officer who
ordered on 27-5-1929 that as both the bodies

wanted to perform the seva contribution
might be received from them to supple-
ment the Taadik grant of Rs 50/- and the
sevas conducted by the temple servants.
Against this order an appeal was pre-
ferred to the Deputy Commissioner who
rejected the claims of both the bodies
on the ground that the privilege con-
ceded to the old Sabha lapsed when it
ceased to function and neither of the
contending parties could claim that
privilege and ordered that if the parties
desired they might deposit a pudivat
fund for the sevas but in no case should
they be allowed to have a hand in the
celebration as it might lead to trouble.

The present appeal is

against the above order. The appellants contention is that (1) the Sub Division Officers order was ex-parte (2) that its confirmation by the Deputy Commissioner without hearing the appellant was not proper (3) that it is every man's right to conduct sevas in temples; & (4) that their conduct on contract system will not only be unsatisfactory but the wishes of the devotees will not be fulfilled.

Heard Mr. N. Ramadyengar Advocate for the appellant and the appellant and respondent also who were present.

Order Appeal No. 8 of 1930-31
Dated Bangalore 19th Jany 32.

I have heard the appellant and the respondent and I do not see any reason to differ from the conclusions of the Deputy Commissioner His order is very equitable and workable. The appeal is dismissed.

Sd/ S. Hiriannaiya
Mysore Commissioner.

Copy applied for by Mr. N Ramadyengar on 1/3/32
Copy ready on 8.3.32
Copy delivered on 9.3.32
No. of words 570
Copying fees 0-12-0
Comparing 0-2-0
0-14-0
Copied by S Lakshmanachar
Compared by "Copy"
A Narasimhamurthy
Sd/ Venkatachalaiya.
Manager, Mys. Law

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

Misc 234-31-2

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

To be returned

No. 1575 [Misc 1 Encl 1 Pkt
dy 29.4.32 Appl. 4, no 1943 1-32
The accompanying Petition Registered

No. 11967-31-32 dated 14.4.32

~~received at the General and~~ Revenue

~~Secretariat from~~ filed by Mr.
N. Rama Iyengar - Advocate
Bangalore city on behalf
of his client M/s. Aravinda
Iyengar - Secretary Pallavottsava
Sabha - Melkote appealing
against an order of the
M.C. about the Conduct
of Pallavottsava
Sri Narayanaswamy
temple at Melkote

is forwarded, together with its enclosures
to the M.C.
.....
..... for Submission
of records connected
with the case

By Order,

25/4/32
Secretary.

BANGALORE,
..... 19.....
28/4/32

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ..... .....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮರುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು..... .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

No. 1354 & 2 2
31.32

1196- 2 & 2 2 3 4 - 31 -

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 17th June *193 2.*

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

1961/32

SIR,

With reference to your O.L. No.1575/Muz. dated 29th April 1932, calling for the records connected with the Appeal petition of Mr. K. Aravamudu Iyengar, Secretary, Pallavotsava Sabha, Melkote, I have the honor to submit the records in question received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.961/31 dated the 13th instant together with this office file in Appeal No.8/31-32.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF COCHIN.

Luz. Appeal O.19 of 1931-32.

APPELLANT. RESPONDENTS.

P.V.Ramudu Iyengar, Secretary, Pallavotsava Sabha, Elkote. V 1.Sriram Venkataramayya, Petty. 2.Chatri Sriramulu Naidu of Elkote.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the decision of the Sub Court in Appeal No.8 of 1931 on the file of his court in the matter of petition No. 1931 of Pallavotsava of Sri Ramaswamy Temple, Elkote.

This case was heard on 15th July 1932. The appellant was represented by his pleader Mr. T.R. Iyengar; the respondents were not present and as notice could not be served upon them, owing to their absence from Elkote.

ORDER

The case was heard on the previous day and respondents were absent today.

There are no reasons to alter the orders of the Deputy Collector. The appeal is dismissed.

Memo No 239/Mag 236-31-5 dt 2-8-32 Copy advised to Mr. V. Rama Iyengar Advocate.

Memo No. 238/Mag: 236-31-6 dt 2-8-32 Copy of Judgment received with his letter No 1354/31-328 dt 21-8-32 forwarded to Mr. C. Achutha Menon

Memo. 240/Mag 236-31-7 dt 2-8-32 Copy to Chatri Narasimhalu. Kanchi Venkataramana Reddy

P.K.-5

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mug Appeal No. 19 of 1931-32

Appellant.	Vs	Respondents
M. V. Aramudu Iyengar Secretary Pallavotsava Sabha. Melkote.		1 Karadi Venkatarmana Setty 2 Dhobi Narasimhachar of Melkote

Subject.

Appeal against the decision of the M.C. in Mug appl no 8 of 1930-31 on the file of this Court in the matter of conducting the Pallavotsava of Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote.

This case was heard on 25.7.1932
The appellant was represented by his Lawyer Mr. N. Rama Iyengar; the respondents were not present as notice could not be served upon them owing to their absence from Melkote

over

The case was taken up as the presence of the respondents was unnecessary
There are no reasons &c.,

GPB—1,000—8 8 11

Appeal is dismissed.

Memo No. 239/Mmz. 284-31-5 dt 2-8-32

Copy to Mr. N. Rama Iyengar,
Bulepet, Bangalore city -

B-O

2/8/32
For Secy Mysore
Dy Secy

Memo No. 240/Mmz. 284-31-6 dt 2-8-32

Copy to Messrs Kavadi Venkataramana Setty
and Chikka Narasimhaiah of Malkote

B-O

2/8/32
For Secy Mysore
Dy Secy

Memo No. 238/Mmz. 284-31-4 dt 2-8-32

Copy to Dy-C. Little Compatta returning records received with
his letter No 1334/31-32 dt 21/6/1932.

B-O

2/8/32
For Secy Mysore
Dy Secy

27/7

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 77 -16

1916-17
(Education)

Serial Nos. ~~31~~ 31,354
42,

Later references.

Edn 77-16 84,884+90

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 31, 35 & 42

Part B for January 1917.

SUBJECT.

Petition of M.R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Mysore
Complaining that he had not received the
pay for the period he served as a schoolmaster.

To the Inspr. Genl. of Education,
G.O. 5487 dt. 18th Jan. 1917
Directs his attention to the amendment
slip no 29/22.3.16, to Art. 32 of M.S.R. dispensing
with the prodn. of vaccination certificates by
temporary hands.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24 7 16

H. Srinivasa Rau
28/1/17.